

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.      | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 09/930,123   | 08/14/2001      | Harold O. Schwartz   | 3790-60721               | 9401             |
| 7  | 7590 05/01/2003 |                      |                          |                  |
| KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP One World Trade Center, Suite 1600 121 S. W. Salmon Street |                 |                      | EXAMINER                 |                  |
|  |                 |                      | MEDINA SANABRIA, MARIBEL |                  |
| Portland, OR 97204   |                 |                      | ART UNIT                 | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |                 |                      | 1754                     |                  |
|  |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 05/01/2003  |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

0

| ſ | 1 |   |
|---|---|---|
| V | 1 | _ |

|   | Application No.                   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary   | 09/930,123                        | SCHWARTZ ET AL.                                      |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner                          | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAN INC DATE of the control of  | Maribel Medina                    | 1754   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence addr ss Period for Reply  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 A   | ugust 2001 .                      |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | s action is non-final.            |  |  |  |  |  |
| · <u> </u>  |                                   | osecution as to the merits is                        |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>   |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.   |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner   |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep  |                                   | niner  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the   |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep   |                                   | ·  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign   | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) | -(d) or (f).   |  |  |  |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.   |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   | 5) Notice of Informal P           | (PTO-413) Paper No(s)<br>atent Application (PTO-152) |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 1754

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Election/Restrictions**

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-4, drawn to an air treatment apparatus comprising an enclosed housing with a plurality of through openings; a negative ion generator and a photo-ionizing assembly, classified in class 96, subclass 16.
  - II. Claims 5-20, drawn to an air treatment apparatus comprising a base; a frustoconical housing; a negative ion generator; a fan; a photo-ionizing assembly; and an electrical circuit, classified in class 422, subclass 186.15.
  - III. Claim 21, drawn to an air treatment apparatus comprising a photo-ionizing assembly; a negative ion generator; and a power supply, classified in class 96, subclass 16.
  - IV. Claims 22-23, drawn to a method for treating air, classified in class 423, subclass 245.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the apparatus of Group I has a different mode of operation than the apparatus of Group II, since the apparatus of Group II requires: a base; a frustoconical housing, a fan and an electrical circuit, which are not required or present in the apparatus of Group I.

Art Unit: 1754

- 3. Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the apparatus of Group I has a different mode of operation than the apparatus of Group III, since the apparatus of Group III requires: a power supply which is not required or present in the apparatus of Group I.
- 4. Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the apparatus of Group II has a different mode of operation than the apparatus of Group III, since the apparatus of Group II requires: a base; a frustoconical housing, a fan and an electrical circuit, which are not required or present in the apparatus of Group II.
- 5. Inventions IV and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced with a materially different apparatus such as the ones of Groups II and III.
- 6. Inventions IV and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as

Art Unit: 1754

claimed can be practiced with a materially different apparatus such as the ones of Groups I and III.

Page 4

- 7. Inventions IV and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced with a materially different apparatus such as the ones of Groups I and II.
- 8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to the examiner Maribel Medina. The examiner can normally be

Art Unit: 1754

reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 AM to 3:30 PM. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Examiner: Maribel Medina

Tel: 703-305-1928 Fax: 703-872-9310 April 24, 2003

> STANLEY S. SILVERMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Page 5